## Meeting 9: Imperative Computation



## Announcements

- Homework 2 due next week: Friday at $6: 00 \mathrm{pm}$
- Some Homework 0 feedback in GitHub
- Upcoming with Sean:
- Thu 11:45 to 12

Feedback sessions ("interview light"). Schedule 5 minutes to discuss your homework feedback via moodle. Bring your homework (either ready on your laptop or print out) and a question.

- Fri 10 to 11: Group tutoring session ("recitation light"). Come ask questions about the prior homework, ask to see steps worked out in detail.
- Tue 11:45 to 12 $\square$ Individual tutoring hours (office hours).


## Questions

- Some remaining questions from Homework 1
- Walk through Chapter 3
- Contextual dynamics (with proof of 5.4)
- Equational dynamics


# Assignment \#2: Language Design and Implementation 

CSC 5535 / ECEN 5533: Fundamentals of Programming Languages

Spring 2018: Due Friday, February 23, 2018

The tasks in this homework ask you to formalize and prove meta-theoretical properties of an imperative core language IMP based on your experience with E. This homework also asks you to implement an extension of $\mathbf{E}$ in OCaml to gain experience translating formalization to implementation.

## 1 Language Design: IMP

## $\langle\varepsilon, \sigma\rangle \psi, e^{\prime}\left\langle(, \sigma\rangle \psi_{0}^{\prime}\right.$

In this section, we will formalize a variant of IMP from Chapter 2 of FSPL based on our experience from Homework Assignment 1. Consider the following syntax chart for IMP:

$$
y=(x=3)
$$



Addresses $a$ represent static memory store locations and are drawn from some unbounded set Addr. For simplicity, we fix all memory locations to only store numbers (as in FSPL). A store $\sigma$ is thus a mapping from addresses to numbers, written as follows:

$$
\sigma::=\cdot \mid \sigma, a \hookrightarrow n
$$

Extra Credit. Complete this section where instead memory locations can store any values (i.e., numbers or booleans).
1.1. Formalize the statics for IMP with two judgment forms $e: \tau$ and $c o k$.
1.2. Formalize the dynamics for IMP by the following:
(a) Define values and final states $e$ val and
(b) Define a big-step operational semantics with the judgment forms $\langle e, \sigma\rangle \Downarrow e^{\prime}$ and $\langle c, \sigma\rangle \Downarrow$ $\sigma^{\prime}$.
(c) Define a small-step operational semantics with the judgment forms $\langle e, \sigma\rangle \longmapsto\left\langle e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\langle c, \sigma\rangle \longmapsto\left\langle c^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
(d) State canonical forms. Then, state and prove progress and preservation.

## 2 Language Implementation: T with Products and Sums

## 3 Final Project Preparation

Iompeative Computition
What charactuices impuntik expputation?

Functunal -is corpuing by "rewriting" or "reancty" of "sumplyly
$(1+3)+3 \rightarrow 4+3$ code and deta ore "togthe"

Inplotie separates cole and data
The code malifios a memoy dor

$\frac{c \text { eval }}{\overline{n \text { ral }}} \quad \overline{s k i d ~ f a l l}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\langle s k k, \sigma\rangle ⿻(s k \psi, \sigma\rangle} \overline{\langle s k p, \sigma\rangle \psi \sigma\rangle} \\
& \frac{\left\langle c_{1}, \sigma\right\rangle 山 \sigma^{\prime}\left\langle\left\langle c_{2}, \sigma^{1}\right\rangle \Perp \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right.}{\left\langle c_{1} ; c_{2}, \sigma\right\rangle \| \sigma^{\prime \prime}} \\
& \frac{\left\langle e_{1}, \sigma\right\rangle ⿻ 上 丨 匕 \text { fibe }}{\left\langle e_{1} \& \varepsilon_{e_{2}}, \sigma\right\rangle \psi \text { fabs }} \frac{\left\langle e_{1}, 0\right\rangle \psi+\text { me }\left\langle e_{2}, \sigma\right\rangle b b_{2}}{\left\langle e_{1} \& l_{e_{2}}, \sigma\right\rangle \psi b_{2}} \\
& \left\langle e_{1}, a\right\rangle \psi+e_{1}^{\prime} \quad\left(e_{2}, a\right\rangle \psi e_{2}^{\prime} \quad b=\left(e_{1}^{\prime}=e_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left\langle e_{1}=e_{2}, \sigma\right\rangle \| b
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(1+2)==3
$$

